

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/27/2020 6:00:00 AM

First name: Keith

Last name: Taylor

Organization:

Title:

Comments: DEAR MS JACKSON,

I stumbled onto the Stibnite project surfing and quickly was impressed by the exhaustive effort to address every requirement of the agencies and regulatory administration.

Then, I noted the proactive treatment of the communities - putting more effort, time and money where the verbage was.

Then, I read about the contract to restore the salmon run and conclude with a rehab of the leach waters from legacy dumps.

Then, I read that some of the legacy waste dump has recoverable grams per ton and is included in 'proven' reserves. (Did I get that right?)

Then, I noted that the feasibility studies were done at \$1200 gold.

I guess I should make an effort to dig out opponents and objections to this proposition that seems to have overwhelmingly addressed the issues with thoroughness. It is hard to find anyone 'against' who is not just mumbling ignorant "party line" level non sequiters.

Digging in with just a brief search of Nez Perce objections, tribal members totally failed to attribute any of the present levels of contaminants to the legacy mining. So far, I can only find accusations that such existing degradation is due to the present activities of Midas which have not included any mining yet. Their pleas make me wonder if they have studied Alternative #2 with its contractually bound, bonded outcomes. Do they really think that the Midas effort will make the trout and salmon disappear? I respectfully request that they consider the trout and salmon that did disappear that could be brought back.

I would kind of like to meet these Midas people and have had to quell a desire to drive up there and look around. Guess I will.

Sincerely,

Name: Keith Taylor